



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/045,188	10/18/2001	Chia-Hsin Li	API110HO	4860

20178 7590 03/03/2005

EPSON RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT INC
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPT
150 RIVER OAKS PARKWAY, SUITE 225
SAN JOSE, CA 95134

EXAMINER

LESNIEWSKI, VICTOR D

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2155

DATE MAILED: 03/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/045,188

Applicant(s)

LI ET AL.

Examiner

Victor Lesniewski

Art Unit

2155

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 October 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/1/2002.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

1. This application has been examined.
2. Claims 1-24 are pending.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The IDS filed 5/1/2002 has been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1-6, 9-13, 15, and 17-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Spyker et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,571,389), hereinafter referred to as Spyker, in view of Giroir et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,854,006), hereinafter referred to as Giroir.
6. Spyker disclosed a method for improving the manageability and usability of Java environments. In an analogous art, Giroir disclosed a system for allowing target applications to be locally selected and easily accessed. Both systems deal with the downloading of Java-based applications.
7. Concerning claims 1, 9, 15, and 20, Spyker did not explicitly disclose determining if the latest version of an application is present on a client. Although Spyker states the ability to retrieve a latest version of the properties for an application (see column 4, lines 64-67), he is not

Art Unit: 2155

explicit about checking the version of the application. However, a major focus of Giroir's system is to check for the latest version on the client. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to modify the system of Spyker by adding the ability to determine if the latest version of an application is present on a client as provided by Giroir. Here, the combination satisfies the need for a Java environment that is easier to use. See Spyker, column 4, lines 3-10. This rationale also applies to those dependent claims utilizing the same combination.

8. Some claims will be discussed together. Those claims which are essentially the same except that they set forth the claimed invention as a computer readable media are rejected under the same rationale applied to the described claim.

9. Thereby, the combination of Spyker and Giroir discloses:

- <Claims 1 and 20>

A method for installing and launching a network application, through a distributed network, the application contained on a server, the method comprising: accessing the server through the network (Spyker, column 11, lines 43-47); selecting parameters of the application (Spyker, column 11, lines 54-58); determining if the latest version of the application is present on a client (Giroir, column 9, lines 17-28); downloading and installing an archive file containing the latest version of the application if the latest version of the application is not present on the client (Spyker, column 12, lines 30-50); launching the application (Spyker, column 14, lines 42-44); and passing parameters to a port, the port in communication with the application, wherein the application is configured to access native libraries of the archive file (Spyker, column 15, lines 12-20).

- <Claims 2 and 21>

The method as recited in claim 1, wherein passing parameters to a port further includes: configuring the application to listen to the port; and sending the parameters over the port, the parameters being sent by a control module (Spyker, column 7, line 53 through column 8, line 3).

- <Claims 3 and 22>

The method as recited in claim 2, wherein the port is a TCP/IP port (Spyker, column 7, line 66 through column 8, line 3).

- <Claims 4 and 23>

The method as recited in claim 1, wherein the application is a Java application, the Java application configured to be executed by a Java virtual machine of an operating system of the client (Spyker, column 1, lines 22-44).

- <Claim 5>

The method as recited in claim 1, wherein the archive file is a .cab file, the .cab file including the application and a control module (Spyker, column 3, line 65 through column 4, line 2).

- <Claim 6>

The method as recited in claim 5, wherein the application is a Java application and the .cab file includes native libraries, the native libraries configured to standardize an output of the Java application across platforms (Spyker, column 15, lines 12-20 where the use of the JAR type is akin to the use of a CAB type file).

- <Claim 9>

A method for running a network program on a client, the network program accessed through a web browser, the method comprising: accessing the server containing the network program (Spyker, column 11, lines 43-47); configuring parameters of the application (Spyker, column 11, lines 54-58); linking to a page on the server, the page containing version information of a server archive file (Spyker, column 10, lines 13-24 and column 11, line 56 through column 12, line 29); determining if a client archive file is present on the client, the determining further including; inspecting the client archive file if the client archive file is present to ascertain if the client archive file is the same version as the server archive file (Giroir, column 9, lines 17-28); and downloading the server archive file to the client if the client archive file is not present or if the client archive file is not the same version as the server archive file (Spyker, column 12, lines 30-50); and launching the program (Spyker, column 14, lines 42-44).

- <Claim 10>

The method as recited in claim 9, wherein the network program is a Java based program for a printing application (Giroir, column 7, lines 59-62).

- <Claim 11>

The method as recited in claim 9 further including: passing the parameters to a port; executing the application, the executing further including, accessing native libraries, the native libraries installed by the archive file (Spyker, column 15, lines 12-20).

- <Claim 12>

The method as recited in claim 11, wherein the port is a TCP/IP port and the application is configured to listen to the TCP/IP port so that the application can receive the parameters passed to the port (Spyker, column 7, line 53 through column 8, line 3).

- <Claim 13>

The method as recited in claim 9, wherein the archive file is a .cab file, the .cab file containing a control module, the control module configured to pass the parameters to a port (Spyker, column 3, line 65 through column 4, line 2).

- <Claim 15>

A system for installing and launching an application through a network, the system comprising: a server (Spyker, figure 2, item 47), the server including an application contained in a server archive file (Spyker, column 8, lines 57-59), the application including a plurality of options (Spyker, column 9, lines 34-52), the server configured to link to a page containing version information of the server archive file (Spyker, column 9, lines 23-33 and column 10, lines 13-24), the server further configured to allow a user to select the options of the application (Spyker, column 11, lines 42-43); a web browser (Spyker, column 1, lines 45-48), and a client (Spyker, figure 2, item 10), the client in communication with the server through the web browser (Spyker, figure 2, item 50), the client inspected by the server to determine if a client archive file is present and current by comparing the version information of the server archive file with version information of the client archive file (Giroir, column 9, lines 17-28 and Spyker, column 11, line 56 through column 12, line 29), wherein if the client archive file is not present or not

Art Unit: 2155

current, the server archive file is downloaded to the client, the client archive file including the application (Spyker, column 12, lines 30-50), the application further configured to listen to a port such that any of the options selected by a user are transmitted to the application by a control module through the port (Spyker, column 7, line 53 through column 8, line 3).

- <Claim 17>

The system as recited in claim 15, wherein the application is a Java application containing printing functionality (Giroir, column 7, lines 59-62).

- <Claim 18>

The system as recited in claim 17, wherein the Java application uses a Java virtual machine of an operating system of the client (Spyker, column 1, lines 22-44).

- <Claim 19>

The system as recited in claim 17, wherein the printing functionality is regulated by native libraries, the native libraries included in the client archive file (Spyker, column 15, lines 12-20).

Since the combination of Spyker and Giroir discloses all of the above limitations, claims 1-6, 9-13, 15, and 17-23 are rejected.

10. Claims 7, 8, 14, 16, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Spyker in view of Giroir, as applied above, further in view of Schmidt et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,535,894), hereinafter referred to as Schmidt.

11. The combination of Spyker and Giroir disclosed a technique where Java programs can be executed without relying on the use of a browser to provide a run-time environment, in which the client can be checked for the latest version before installation. In an analogous art, Schmidt disclosed a method for updating archive files. Both methods deal primarily with archive files in a Java-based networking environment.

12. Concerning claims 7 and 24, the combination of Spyker and Giroir did not explicitly disclose the link containing version information as an HTML page. However, the use of HTML in such a system was well known in the art as evidenced by Schmidt who states the use of HTML files in conjunction with his system for updating archived files. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to modify the combination of Spyker and Giroir by adding the ability to link to an HTML page as provided by Schmidt. Again, the combination satisfies the need for a Java environment that is easier to use. See Spyker, column 4, lines 3-10. This rationale also applies to those dependent claims utilizing the same combination.

13. Concerning claim 14, the combination of Spyker and Giroir did not explicitly disclose the use of a digital signature. However, Schmidt disclosed an archived file that can contain digital signatures. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to modify the combination of Spyker and Giroir by adding the ability to use digital signatures as provided by Schmidt. Again, the combination satisfies the need for a Java environment that is easier to use. See Spyker, column 4, lines 3-10.

14. Concerning claim 16, the combination of Spyker and Giroir did not explicitly disclose the use of INF files within the archived files. However, Schmidt's JAR file, related to a CAB file,

Art Unit: 2155

contains a sub-directory for INF information that aids in the installation and launching of the application. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to modify the combination of Spyker and Giroir by adding the ability to use INF files as provided by Schmidt. Again, the combination satisfies the need for a Java environment that is easier to use. See Spyker, column 4, lines 3-10.

15. Thereby, the combination of Spyker, Giroir, and Schmidt discloses:

- <Claims 7 and 24>

The method as recited in claim 1, wherein selecting parameters of the application further includes: linking to an HTML page the HTML page including an object containing version information of an archive file (Spyker, column 9, lines 23-33 and column 10, lines 13-24 and Schmidt, column 7, lines 13-19).

- <Claim 8>

The method as recited in claim 7, wherein the object includes the width and height of a window of a web browser where the application appears (Schmidt, column 7, lines 59-63).

- <Claim 14>

The method as recited in claim 13, wherein the control module is further configured to be digitally signed (Schmidt, column 9, lines 3-5).

- <Claim 16>

The system as recited in claim 15, wherein the client and server archive files are .cab files, the .cab files including .INF files, the .INF files including a launcher application

Art Unit: 2155

containing the control module (Spyker, column 3, line 65 through column 4, line 2 and Schmidt, column 8, lines 53-67).

Since the combination of Spyker, Giroir, and Schmidt discloses all of the above limitations, claims 7, 8, 14, 16, and 24 are rejected.

Conclusion

16. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to the applicant's disclosure.

- McGarvey (U.S. Patent Number 5,926,631) disclosed a system containing a network computer emulator program that obtains Java applications from a server over a network.
- Ungar (U.S. Patent Number 6,282,702) disclosed a method for translating and executing native code in a virtual machine environment.
- Wang et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,662,226) disclosed a method for capturing, processing, and archiving user interactive events and subsequently retrieving them.

17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Victor Lesniewski whose telephone number is 571-272-3987.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.


If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hosain Alam can be reached on 571-272-3978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2155

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Victor Lesniewski
Patent Examiner
Group Art Unit 2155



BHARAT BAROT
PRIMARY EXAMINER